

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,482	12/15/2003	Kenneth R. Hyme	COEC / 001P2	3496	
26875	7590 08/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
2700 CAREW	/ TOWER				
441 VINE ST	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI	I, OH 45202		2856	·	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/736,482	HYME, KENNETH R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert R. Raevis	2856			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a treply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ -	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	S		
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•		d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •			
application from the International Bu	· · · · · ·	received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	,				
Attachment(s)	A\	Summany (PTO, 442)			
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/7/04		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
aper recommender	ு பாள்	,			

DETAILED ACTION

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "shoulder 80" (p. 6, line 22), "Fittings 36, 38" (p. 7, line 18). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to because the lead line for "O-ring 82" (p. 6, line 23) is incorrect in Figure 2A. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it does not use the numeral "40" to depict pressure gauge 40.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Overdiek et al.

Overdiek et al teach (Figure 7) an apparatus for testing a relief valve, comprising: a body 60 constructed to withstand a high pressure, a cavity in the body and configured to enclose a pressure relieve valve 10, the cavity including a valve seat (seal 70) and aperture 68 through which the valve may be received into the cavity to contact the valve seat, pressure gauge 84 in communication with the cavity, fluid inlet 64, fluid outlet 66, and a press device 88 to sealably secure (see col. 11, lines 13-16) the valve within the cavity.

Overdiek does not state that the device 88 is a "closure couplable to said body", and does not does not clearly state the cavity "completely" encloses the valve.

As to claims 1,4,5,6, Overdiek's valve 10 may be deemed to be "completely" enclosed in the cavity as Overdiek distinguishes (col. 5, lines 10-15) the spool 9 (which is illustrated in Figure 7 as being outside of the cavity) from the valve 10. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to test a shorter valve in the cavity (with a result that the cavity completely encloses the valve) because it would be prudent to test as many different size valves as possible with the same cavity. Element 88 may be deemed to be a closure as the applied pressure maintains a seal between the valve and cavity. Finally, it would have been obvious to couple element 88/89 to the body to assure that force applied to the valve is relative to the body, to assure sealing.

As to claims 2 and 7, note member 88.

As to claim 3, Overdiek is silent as to the size of the valves to be tested, suggestive that such design may be applied to any known size valve. In addition, high pressure relieve valves are known, and Overdiek teaches a system to test such valves.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Easter, Webster and Pettibone test relieve valves using pressure gauges.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7am to 4pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAEVIS